



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,186	03/09/2000	David Leigh Donoho	UNIV0001	2288
22862	7590 02/03/2004		EXAMI	INER
GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			CARDONE, JASON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WENEO THE	-, 0 /	•	2142	Sign
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>,</u> ,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<del>-</del>	09/522,186	DONOHO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jason D Cardone	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor:  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation.  19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 16 July 2003.				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
·— ··	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the appliance of the above claim(s) is/are with a specific ation is are subjected to a specific ation is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objected to by the another than a specific ation is objected to by the Example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the Example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet(s) including the specific ation is objected to by the example of the drawing sheet of t	vithdrawn from consideration.  n and/or election requirement.  xaminer.  s/are: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	A) □ 1-1i 0:	Imman (PTO 412)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-33)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.5,6,7</u>.</li> </ol>	948) Paper No(s) 0/SB/08) 5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) <u>Attached Office Action</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 09/522,186

Art Unit: 2142

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The sentence should contain the benefit of 60/098,798.
- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 49-51 and 53-61 claim an apparatus but are dependent upon a method claim 46. It is suggested that claim 46 depend on claim 32.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,186

Art Unit: 2142

## **Double Patenting**

5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 6. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 32, 33, 36, 38, 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 9 and 10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,263,362. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13-31, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41 and 43-61 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,362. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same

Application/Control Number: 09/522,186

Art Unit: 2142

Page 4

subject matter. The Patent does not specifically disclose an anonymous consumer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to incorporate security for the consumer within a communication system of the Patent, in order to protect the consumer.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D Cardone whose telephone number is (703) 305-8484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. (9AM-6PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason D Cardone Primary Examiner Art Unit 2142